TMA4265 Stochastic Processes

Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet Institutt for matematiske fag Løsningsforslag - Eksamen December 2010

Problem 1

a)

It is seen that

$$X_{n+1} = \min\{X_n, Y_{n+1}\}$$

Hence, when X_n is given, the statistics of X_{n+1} does not depend on X_k for k < n which implies that $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Markov chain. The transition probability matrix $\mathbf{P} = (P_{ij})$, where $P_{ij} = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)$ for i, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., (does not depend on n) is given as follows:

$$P_{00} = 1; P_{0j} = 0, j > 1$$

while for $i \ge 1$,

$$P_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p_j \,, \ 0 < j < i \\ 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p_j \,, \ j = i \\ 0 \,, \ j > i \end{array} \right.$$

b)

Let f_i = The probability of ever returning to state *i*. *i* is a recurrent state if $f_i = 1$. *i* is a transient state if $f_i < 1$.

We now use the following results:

$$f_i = 1 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^m = \infty \,, \ f_i < 1 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^m < \infty \,,$$

where $P_{ij}^{m} = P(X_{n+m} = j | X_n = i).$

Since $P_{00} = 1$, it follows that $P_{00}^m = 1$ for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ Hence, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{00}^m = \infty$, so $\{0\}$ is recurrent.

Also, since $P_{ij} = 0$ for j > i, it follows that $P_{ii}^m = (P_{ii})^m = (1 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p_j)^m$ for $i \ge 1$ (since then the only way of returning to *i* is that the chain remains in *i*). Therefore,

 $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p_j)^m < \infty \text{ since } (1 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p_j) < 1, \text{ so the states } \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ are transient.

Since $P_{00} = 1$, $\{0\}$ is an aperiodic state. Also, since $P_{00} = 1$, the average return time must be 1, so $\{0\}$ is positively recurrent. $\{0\}$ is therefore ergodic.

If the Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a limiting distribution $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots)$, it must satisfy the equations $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{P}$, that is,

$$\pi_0 = \pi_0 + p_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i$$

and, for i = 1, 2, ...,

$$\pi_i = \pi_i \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} p_j \right) + p_i \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \pi_j$$

The first equation leads to $p_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i = 0$, or equivalently, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i = 0$. This immediately gives $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \ldots = 0$. From the normalization condition $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_i = 1$, it is obtained that $\pi_0 = 1$. It is now easy to verify that $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$ indeed satisfies $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{P}$. It is therefore a limiting distribution.

Alternatively: Eventually, the chain will leave all transient states and end up in $\{0\}$ as the only recurrent state. This implies that the only possible limiting distribution is $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$, which is easily verified to satisfy $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{P}$.

Problem 2

a)

If information about X(t) for time $t \leq s$ is given, then the distribution of X(t) for t > s conditional on this information will depend only on the value of X(s). That is, X(t) is a Markov process. It has state space $\Omega = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$.

Obviously, for $j > i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and any h > 0:

$$P(X(t+h) = j | X(t) = i) = 0 \implies q_{ij} = 0$$

For i = 1, 2, ..., n and h > 0:

$$P(X(t+h) = i - 1 | X(t) = i) = P(\text{Detecting one error during}(t, t+h))$$
$$= {i \choose 1} (\theta h + o(h))(1 - \theta h - o(h))^{i-1} = i\theta h + o(h),$$

eksDec10lf

Hence,

$$q_{i,i-1} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{P(X(t+h) = i - 1 | X(t) = i)}{h} = i\theta$$

For j < i - 1, i = 2, ..., n and h > 0:

$$P(X(t+h) = j | X(t) = i) = P(\text{Detecting i - j errors during}(t, t+h))$$
$$= \binom{i}{(i-j)} (\theta h + o(h))^{i-j} (1 - \theta h - o(h))^j = o(h),$$

since $i - j \ge 2$. Hence,

$$q_{ij} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{P(X(t+h) = j | X(t) = i)}{h} = 0,$$

And indeed, the process becomes a pure death process with parameters $\mu_i = i \theta$, for i = 0, 1, ..., n.

b)

The time $T_{(i)}$ until the first 'death' in state *i* is exponentially distributed with parameter $\mu_i = i \theta$. Hence, the expected time until the first error is detected is $E[T_{(n)}] = 1/(n\theta)$.

The expected time until all errors have been detected is then

$$E[T_{(1)} + T_{(2)} + \ldots + T_{(n)}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i\theta}$$

c)

The process $X_k(t)$ is just a special case of the process X(t) discussed in a) with n = 1. Hence, $X_k(t)$ is a Markov process with state space $\{0, 1\}$.

The transition rates in this case are then $q_{10} = \theta$ and $q_{01} = 0$.

For a particular k, the time T_k until the error is detected is exponentially distributed with parameter θ . Hence,

$$P(X_k(t) = 1) = P(T_k > t) = e^{-\theta t}, t \ge 0$$

d)

Clearly,

$$X(t) = X_1(t) + X_2(t) + \ldots + X_n(t)$$

eksDec10lf

November 25, 2010

Side 3

For each fixed t, the random variables $X_k(t)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$ becomes a set of independent, identically distributed Bernoulli variables with probability of 'success' $p = e^{-\theta t}$. Hence, X(t) is binomially distributed, and

$$P_{ni}(t) = \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i} = \binom{n}{i} e^{-i\theta t} (1-e^{-\theta t})^{n-i}$$

Note: p = 1 for t = 0.

e)

If the number of errors X(0) is Poisson distributed with parameter λ , we can write

$$X(t) = X_1(t) + X_2(t) + \ldots + X_{X(0)}(t),$$

Then, according to d),

$$P(X(t) = 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X(t) = 0 | X(0) = n) P(X(0) = n) =$$

= $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\theta t})^n \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda(1 - e^{-\theta t}))^n}{n!}$
= $e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda(1 - e^{-\theta t})} = e^{-e^{-\theta t}}$.

Problem 3

a)

See the textbook.

b)

From the information given we have an M/M/1-system, and it follows that (h > 0),

$$P_{i,i+1}(h) = P(X(t+h) = i+1|X(t) = i) = \frac{\lambda}{i+1}h + o(h), \ i \ge 0$$
$$P_{i,i-1}(h) = P(X(t+h) = i-1|X(t) = i) = \mu h + o(h), \ i \ge 1$$
$$P_{ii}(h) = P(X(t+h) = i|X(t) = i) = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{i+1}h - \mu h + o(h), \ i \ge 1$$

eksDec10lf

$$P_{00}(h) = P(X(t+h) = 0 | X(t) = 0) = 1 - \lambda h + o(h),$$

while

$$P_{ij}(h) = P(X(t+h) = j|X(t) = i) = o(h), \ |j-i| > 1.$$

Hence, X(t) is a birth and death process with birth rates $\lambda_i = \lambda/(i+1)$, for i = 0, 1, ..., and death rates $\mu_0 = 0, \ \mu_i = \mu, \ i = 1, 2, ...$

c)

The stationary distribution is given as

$$P_i = \theta_i P_0, \ i = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\theta_i = \frac{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_{i-1}}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_i} = \frac{1}{i!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

This leads to the result:

$$P_i = \frac{1}{i!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^i e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}}, \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

That is, the limiting distribution is a Poisson distribution with parameter λ/μ .

The proportion of time that the system is vacant in the long run is $P_0 = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}}$.