



English

Contact person during the exam:

Arvid Næss 73 59 70 53/ 99 53 83 50

EXAM TMA4285 TIME SERIES MODELS

17. December 2005

Time: 09:00–13:00

Allowable aids: *Tabeller og formler i statistikk*, Tapir Forlag

K. Rottmann: *Matematisk formelsamling*

Kalkulator HP30S

One yellow stamped A5 sheet with own formulas and notes.

The result of the exam available 14. January 2005

NB: All answers must be justified.

Notation used in this problem set:

- Z_t is white noise with variance σ^2 , that is, $Z_t \sim \text{WN}(0, \sigma^2)$.
- B is *backshift*-operator, such that $B^j X_t \equiv X_{t-j}$, $j \in \mathbf{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$
- ACVF = autocovariance function, ACF = autocorrelation function.

Problem 1

Assume that the time series X_t is an ARMA(2, 1) process defined by

$$\phi(B) X_t = \theta(B) Z_t; \quad t \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (1)$$

where the AR polynomial $\phi(z) = 1 - \phi^2 z^2$, and the MA polynomial $\theta(z) = 1 + \theta z$.

- a) What are the requirements that the parameters ϕ and θ must satisfy for X_t to be an ARMA(2, 1) process?
- b) What does it mean that X_t is a causal time series, and how can that be expressed? What about invertibility?

Which additional requirements must ϕ and θ satisfy for X_t to be a causal and invertible time series?

For the remaining part of this exam problem it is assumed that $0 < |\phi| < 1$.

- c) Determine the ACVF $\gamma(h)$ of X_t . Show first that

$$\gamma(0) = \sigma^2 \frac{1 + \theta^2}{1 - \phi^4} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\gamma(1) = \sigma^2 \frac{\theta}{1 - \phi^2} \quad (3)$$

- d) Show that for $\theta = 0$, the following equation holds

$$\gamma(2k) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^4} \phi^{2|k|}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (4)$$

What is $\gamma(2k + 1)$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$?

Problem 2

Given the 'observations' X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n from a stationary time series X_t , then the ACVF $\gamma(h)$ and the ACF $\rho(h)$ can be estimated as follows ($h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\hat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (X_{t+h} - \bar{X}_n)(X_t - \bar{X}_n), \quad (5)$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(h)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}, \quad (6)$$

which can be used if h is not too big compared with n , f.eks. $0 \leq h < n/4$. The following result is now assumed: For large n the estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = (\hat{\rho}(1), \hat{\rho}(2), \dots, \hat{\rho}(k))'$ will be approximately normally distributed $N(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \frac{1}{n}W)$, where $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho(1), \rho(2), \dots, \rho(k))'$ and $W = (w_{ij})$ is a $k \times k$ covariance matrix given by Bartlett's formula

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \{\rho(l+i) + \rho(l-i) - 2\rho(i)\rho(l)\} \cdot \{\rho(l+j) + \rho(l-j) - 2\rho(j)\rho(l)\} \quad (7)$$

Assume that for the observations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{100} , we have found that $\hat{\rho}(1) = 0.438$ og $\hat{\rho}(2) = 0.145$.

- a) Assume that the observations have been generated by an AR(1) process: $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t$, where $|\phi| < 1$. Set up the relation between $\rho(h)$ and ϕ , and show that

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\rho}(1)] \approx \frac{1}{n}(1 - \phi^2). \quad (8)$$

Determine a corresponding expression for $\text{Var}[\hat{\rho}(2)]$. Hint: Use e.g. the observed value $\hat{\rho}(2) = 0.145$ to justify the approximations.

Then construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for both $\rho(1)$ and $\rho(2)$. Use these two confidence intervals to discuss whether the observations are consistent with an AR(1) model with $\phi = 0.8$.

- b) Assume that the observations are generated by an MA(1) process. Construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for both $\rho(1)$ and $\rho(2)$. Use the two confidence intervals to discuss whether the observations are consistent with an MA(1) model with $\theta = 0.6$.

GIVEN: The 95% confidence limits for an $N(0, 1)$ variable are ± 1.96 .

Problem 3

- a) Assume that the time series X_t , $t \in \mathbf{Z}$, is (weakly) stationary. Show that $\nabla^k X_t = (1 - B)^k X_t$ is also a stationary time series for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$
- b) Let the time series Y_t be defined by the equation

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 + X_t, \quad t \in \mathbf{Z}, \beta_2 > 0, \quad (9)$$

where X_t is stationary. Does there exist an integer k_0 such that $\nabla^k Y_t$ is stationary for $k \geq k_0$, while $\nabla^k Y_t$ is not stationary for $k < k_0$. What is then k_0 ?